

Ahilan T. Arulanantham (SBN 237841)
arulanantham@law.ucla.edu
CENTER FOR IMMIGRATION LAW AND
POLICY, UCLA SCHOOL OF LAW
385 Charles E. Young Dr. East
Los Angeles, CA 90095
Telephone: (310) 825-1029

Emilou MacLean (SBN 319071)
emaclean@aclunc.org
Michelle (Minju) Y. Cho (SBN 321939)
mcho@aclunc.org
Amanda Young (SBN 359753)
ayoung@aclunc.org
ACLU FOUNDATION
OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-4805
Telephone: (415) 621-2493
Facsimile: (415) 863-7832

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
[Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

NATIONAL TPS ALLIANCE, MARIOLA GONZÁLEZ, FREDDY JOSE ARAPE RIVAS, M.H., CECILIA DANIELA GONZÁLEZ HERRERA, ALBA CECILIA PURICA HERNÁNDEZ, E.R., HENDRINA VIVAS CASTILLO, A.C.A., SHERIKA BLANC, VILES DORSAINVIL, and G.S..

Plaintiffs,

VS.

KRISTI NOEM, in her official capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-01766-EMC

**DECLARATION OF EMILOU MACLEAN
IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED ORDER] RE: BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOR PARTIES' CROSS-
MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

1 Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs

2 Jessica Karp Bansal (SBN 277347)

jessica@ndlön.org

3 Lauren Michel Wilfong (*Pro Hac Vice*)

lwilfong@ndlön.org

4 NATIONAL DAY LABORER

ORGANIZING NETWORK

5 1030 S. Arroyo Parkway, Suite 106

Pasadena, CA 91105

6 Telephone: (626) 214-5689

7 Eva L. Bitran (SBN 302081)

ebitran@aclusocal.org

8 Diana Sanchez (SBN 338871)

dianasanchez@aclusocal.org

9 ACLU FOUNDATION

OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

10 1313 West 8th Street

Los Angeles, CA 90017

11 Telephone: (213) 977-5236

12 Erik Crew (*Pro Hac Vice*)

ecrew@haitianbridge.org

13 HAITIAN BRIDGE ALLIANCE

4560 Alvarado Canyon Road, Suite 1H

14 San Diego, CA 92120

Telephone: (949) 603-7411

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 I, Emilou MacLean, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed and entitled to practice in the State of
3 California. I am a Senior Staff Attorney at ACLU Foundation of Northern California, counsel of
4 record in this action for Plaintiffs. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration
5 and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I file this Declaration in support of the Parties' Stipulation Re: Briefing Schedule for
7 Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment.

8 3. There have been the following previous time modifications in this case:

- 9 • On February 25, 2025, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time
10 regarding Plaintiffs' Motion to Postpone Effective Agency Action (Dkt. 45) for good
11 cause shown and advanced the parties' briefing schedule and hearing on the Motion
12 to Postpone. *See* Dkt. 51.
- 13 • On March 31, 2025, in response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time to Confer
14 under Rule 26(f) (Dkt. 79), the Court ordered parties to meet and confer within a
15 week of its order. *See* Dkt. 93.
- 16 • On April 2, 2025, the Court granted Defendants' Motion to Shorten Time regarding
17 its Motion to Stay Pending Appeal to the Ninth Circuit (Dkt. 96), and denied
18 Plaintiffs' Motion for Extension of Time to file Response and Reply (Dkt. 98), thus
19 ordering Plaintiffs to file their Response on April 3, 2025. *See* Dkt. 99.
- 20 • On April 8, 2025, the Court advanced the Initial Case Management Conference from
21 May 20, 2025, to April 21, 2025. *See* Dkt. 107.
- 22 • On April 30, 2025, the Court granted the parties' Stipulated Cross-Motion Briefing
23 Schedule regarding the Parties' respective Motions for Summary Judgment ("MSJ"),
24 and ordered Plaintiffs to file their MSJ on May 26, 2025; Defendants to file their
25 Opposition to Plaintiffs' MSJ and their own MSJ on June 10, 2025; Plaintiffs to file
26 their Reply in further support of their MSJ and their Opposition to Defendants' MSJ
27 on June 20, 2025; Defendants to file their Reply in further support of their MSJ on
28 June 27, 2025; and set argument on the Parties' MSJs for July 11, 2025. *See* Dkt. 124.

- 1 • On May 9, 2025, the Court granted the Parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss and
- 2 Cross Summary Judgment Motion Briefing Schedule and ordered Plaintiffs to file
- 3 their MSJ on May 27, 2025, Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion
- 4 to Dismiss on May 28, 2025, and Defendants to file their Reply in support of their
- 5 Motion to Dismiss on June 10, 2025. *See* Dkt. 134.
- 6 • On May 21, 2025, this Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Shortening Time
- 7 and Setting Schedule Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Preserve Status and Rights under
- 8 Section 705 ("Motion to Preserve"), and ordered Defendants to respond to the Motion
- 9 to Preserve by May 27, 2025 at 5:00 PM PST, Plaintiffs to file their Reply by May
- 10 28, 2025, at noon, and for the Motion to Preserve to be heard at the hearing already
- 11 scheduled for May 29, 2025. *See* Dkt. 146.

12 4. On May 19, 2025, pursuant to Court Order, (*see* Dkts. 135, 143), Defendants
 13 produced 77 documents responsive to Plaintiffs' first, second and sixth requests for production. On
 14 May 22, 2025, Defendants' counsel emailed Plaintiffs' counsel to notify Plaintiffs that 159
 15 documents from USCIS custodians that were potentially responsive to Plaintiffs' requests for
 16 production were inadvertently not batched out for review. Defendants' counsel stated that they
 17 intended to have the documents reviewed, and responsive, non-privileged documents produced, by
 18 May 27, 2025, and offered to adjust the briefing schedule on the Parties' cross-motions for summary
 19 judgment to accommodate this belated production.

20 5. Accordingly, the Parties agreed to the following changes to the briefing schedule for
 21 the Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, subject to Court approval:

- 22 • Plaintiffs shall file their Motion for Summary Judgment on or before June 3, 2025.
- 23 • Defendants shall file their Opposition and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment on
 24 or before June 17, 2025.
- 25 • Plaintiffs shall file their Reply in further support of their Motion for Summary
 26 Judgment and their Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on or
 27 before June 27, 2025.
- 28 • Defendants will waive their Reply in further support of their Motion for Summary

1 Judgment.

2 • The Court will hear argument on the Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment
3 on July 11, 2025.

4 6. If granted, the stipulation will have no effect on the overall case schedule. The
5 Parties' proposed changes to the briefing schedule will have no effect on the hearing date for the
6 Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, currently set for July 11, 2025.

7 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
8 foregoing is true and correct.

9
10 Executed on May 23, 2025, in San Francisco, California.

11 Emilou MacLean
12 Emilou MacLean
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28